


FOIAb3b



To the Kalmin:

In his article Mr. Blouin insinuated that Mr. Hood was wrong in saying students would be denied freedom of inquiry if they could not talk to CIA members because a member, Mr. Culhane, contradicted notions of "free inquiry and the unfettered exchange of ideas." Does not Mr. Blouin feel students should have the right to talk to people who do not believe in freedom? (I am not saying the CIA does not believe in freedom of expression). Isn't this part of freedom and learning to think for oneself?

Mr. Blouin feels the CIA should not be on campus because its members restrict freedom of speech and inquiry. He failed, however, to state any instances in which the CIA has hindered freedom of speech and inquiry on this campus. He simply stated that Mr. Culhane refused "to comment on a mounting body of information. . . ." I would like some evidence of restrictions imposed on freedom by the CIA on this campus.

There is more than one way to cover an agent

LYSLEA MIZER
Student in English

CPYRIGHT

Steere Condemns Ban of CIA Float

To the Kalmin:

I feel that the action taken by University authorities in preventing The Committee For Intelligent Action from participating in the Homecoming Parade was a flagrant violation of a very basic right, that of free expression. It was carefully put under the guise of not sticking to the theme of the Diamond Jubilee. The real reason was to have everything nice and proper for the alumni. Let them have a good drunk and see the football game. There must not be any of those peaceniks in the parade to upset the alumni. If the University is afraid to lose money from people who have to have a group's rights taken away to have a good time, I say to hell with the money. There seems to be a growing trend in this country to suppress the people who feel peace is right and legalized murder is wrong.

PETER L. STEERE
Sophomore, Anthropology

CPYRIGHT